

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

ROBERT E. JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

HAROLD CLARK et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C05-5401 FDB

ORDER DENYING
RECONSIDERATION


This matter comes before this Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, to Vacate, Amend, and Make Additional Findings, wherein Plaintiff seeks reconsideration of this Court's Order adopting Report and Recommendation granting Defendant's Motion For Summary Judgment.

Pursuant to Local Rules W.D. Wash. CR 7(h)(1), motions for reconsideration are disfavored, and will ordinarily be denied unless there is a showing of (a) manifest error in the prior ruling, or (b) facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to the attention of the court earlier, through reasonable diligence. Plaintiff's has not made the requisite showing as to either of the grounds for reconsideration under CR 7(h)(1). Plaintiff rather, attempts to use this motion to revisit

1 the factual and legal arguments made in previous pleadings. The Court is not persuaded by this re-
2 argument. Plaintiff's motion does not demonstrate a manifest error in the Court's order granting the
3 Defendants' motion for summary judgment, nor does it provide the Court with any new information
4 not previously considered.

5 For these reasons, Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, to Vacate, Amend, and Make
6 Additional Findings [Dkt #85] is DENIED.

7 DATED this 26th day of June, 2007.

8
9
10
11 
12 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25